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Recommendations

Major Recommendations

Recommendations for Preprocedure Testing and Management

Assessment and preparation of the patient before image-guided procedures will vary according to the procedure to be performed in conjunction with a comprehensive assessment of the patient's comorbidities. Although image guidance is likely to make minimally invasive procedures more accurate, for example, in their ability to target lesions or to position effectors such as needles or catheters in optimal position, by their very nature, these procedures preclude the operator from direct visualization of postprocedural bleeding. The lack of available randomized, controlled studies specific to image-guided percutaneous procedures has resulted in considerable variety in clinical practice. In addition, it is doubtful that one can extrapolate the results from open surgical procedures to minimally invasive procedures because of the aforementioned separation of the operator from direct assessment of bleeding (and the associated ability to control it) at the site of the procedure.

Recommendations for patient evaluation and general indications for the use of blood products and other hemostatic agents are outlined in the tables below. Where reliable data were lacking, recommendations were derived by Delphi consensus of a panel of expert practitioners. The tables represent the results of the Delphi consensus panel, which were derived for the management of a patient with a single hemostatic defect. A total of 18 Certificate of Added Qualification–certified interventional radiologists participated in a four-round Delphi process. Although representative procedures were placed into one of three categories of risk, as outlined in the tables below, the panel believed there was significant potential variability in risk from procedure to procedure within each category, depending on the individual patient comorbidities and possible multiple
It must be stressed, therefore, that specific assessment of bleeding risk and considerations for the use of blood products or other hemostatic agents must be individualized to the patient at the total discretion of the performing physician, who must, at the time of the procedure, make clinical decisions based on an often complex array of patient variables, comorbidities, and concomitant hemostatic defects. With respect to the categories in the tables below, any individual procedure might possibly be treated at a higher risk level, depending on these individual patient factors. In addition, for the purposes of this document, the Delphi consensus panel treated the procedures as elective, with a single hemostatic defect. Emergency indications, multiple concomitant hemostatic defects, and the use of topical or intravascular/perivascular closure devices were not specifically addressed. Numerous maneuvers and modifications, such as needle tract embolization, have been employed to potentially reduce bleeding risks; however, there is no concrete evidence-based research showing their added efficacy, and therefore they will not be further delineated. Emergency or highly urgent procedures, in which the risk of procedural delay may outweigh the potential hemorrhagic risk, may not afford the time for equivalent correction of hemostatic defects as may be achieved in elective procedures. The physician must take into account pathophysiologic, psychosocial, medicolegal, and religious variables in coming to an overall assessment of the patient. For example, periprocedural management for percutaneous liver biopsy may vary significantly between one patient with an international normalized ratio (INR) of 1.7 with no comorbidities and a second patient with INR of 1.7 and concomitant renal failure and cirrhosis.

As there is no evidence to support the use of bleeding times before minimally invasive procedures, the Delphi consensus panel did not address the use of this test. In addition, the use of recombinant factor VIIa was not addressed. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use was not specifically addressed by the panel. Although NSAIDs can inhibit platelet function, the effect is reversible with clearance of the drug. Furthermore, NSAIDs tend to cause bleeding mostly in patients with preexisting coagulopathies. Low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) was considered by the panel with respect to therapeutic dosing.

### Category 1: Procedures with Low Risk of Bleeding, Easily Detected and Controllable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Preprocedure Laboratory Testing</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vascular:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dialysis access interventions</td>
<td>• INR: routinely recommended for patients receiving warfarin anticoagulation or with known or suspected liver disease</td>
<td>• INR &gt;2.0: threshold for treatment (i.e., FFP, vitamin K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Venography</td>
<td>• aPTT: routinely recommended for patients receiving intravenous unfractionated heparin</td>
<td>• aPTT: no consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Central line removal</td>
<td>• Platelet count: not routinely recommended</td>
<td>• Hematocrit: no recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IVC filter placement</td>
<td>• Hematocrit: not routinely recommended</td>
<td>• Platelets: transfusion recommended for counts &lt;50,000/µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PICC line placement</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Clopidogrel: withhold for 5 days before procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonvascular:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Aspirin: do not withhold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Drainage catheter exchange (biliary, nephrostomy, abscess catheter)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• LMWH (therapeutic dose): withhold one dose before procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Thoracentesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Paracentesis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Superficial aspiration and biopsy (excludes intrathoracic or intraabdominal sites): thyroid, superficial lymph node</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Superficial abscess drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was an 80% consensus on each of these recommendations unless stated otherwise. The management recommendations for each coagulation defect and drug assume that no other coagulation defect is present and that no other drug that might affect coagulation status has been administered. 1-Deamino-8-D-arginine vasopressin may be indicated before image-guided procedures in patients with hemophilia and von Willebrand's disease.

Abbreviations: aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time, FFP = fresh frozen plasma, INR = international normalized ratio, IVC = inferior vena cava, LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin, PICC = peripherally inserted central catheter, PTT = partial thromboplastin time.

### Category 2: Procedures with Moderate Risk of Bleeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Preprocedure Laboratory Testing</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vascular:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Angiography, arterial intervention with access size up to 7 F</td>
<td>• INR: recommended</td>
<td>• INR: correct to &lt;1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Venous interventions</td>
<td>• aPTT: recommended in patients receiving intravenous unfractionated heparin</td>
<td>• aPTT: no consensus (trend toward correcting for values &gt;1.5× control, 73% consensus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Platelet count: not routinely recommended</td>
<td>• Platelets: Transfusion recommended for</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time, FFP = fresh frozen plasma, INR = international normalized ratio, IVC = inferior vena cava, LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin, PICC = peripherally inserted central catheter, PTT = partial thromboplastin time.
### Category 2: Procedures with Moderate Risk of Bleeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Preprocedure Laboratory Testing</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vascular:</td>
<td>• INR: routinely recommended</td>
<td>• INR: correct to &lt;1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TIPS</td>
<td>• aPTT: routinely recommended in patients receiving intravenous</td>
<td>• aPTT: stop or reverse heparin for values &gt;1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonvascular:</td>
<td>• unfractionated heparin infusion. No consensus on patients not</td>
<td>• times control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Renal biopsy</td>
<td>receiving heparin</td>
<td>• Platelets &lt;50,000: transfuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bilary interventions (new tract)</td>
<td>• Platelet count: routinely recommended</td>
<td>• Hematocrit: no recommended threshold for transfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nephrostomy tube placement</td>
<td>• Hematocrit: routinely recommended</td>
<td>• Clopidogrel: withhold for 5 days before procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Radiofrequency ablation: complex</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Aspirin: withhold for 5 days before procedure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was an 80% consensus on each of these recommendations unless stated otherwise. The management recommendations for each coagulation defect and drug assume that no other coagulation defect is present and that no other drug that might affect coagulation status has been administered. 1-Deamino-8-D-arginine vasopressin may be indicated before image-guided procedures in patients with hemophilia and von Willebrand's disease.

### Summary

In the original guideline document, the guideline committee attempts to summarize some of the available literature regarding periprocedural surveillance and management of hemostatic defects in patients undergoing percutaneous image-guided procedures. Because of the lack of randomized controlled studies or other high-level evidence on this topic, a Delphi panel of experts constructed a set of consensus guidelines to hopefully serve as a reference for the practicing interventionalist in constructing their individual practice guidelines. Although it is likely that individual practice parameters will vary from this document, each practitioner should monitor outcomes and look for trends, both positive and negative, which may suggest modifications or adjustments to these parameters. Outlining bleeding complication rates for specific procedures is beyond the scope of this document and, in many cases, may be difficult or impossible to accurately accomplish because of the lack of high-level data. Where external benchmarks are not available, practitioners may choose to benchmark against their own historical data as part of an overall quality improvement program.
Clinical Algorithm(s)
None provided

Scope

Disease/Condition(s)
Coagulation abnormalities leading to bleeding complications during percutaneous image-guided interventions

Guideline Category
Evaluation
Management
Prevention
Risk Assessment

Clinical Specialty
Hematology
Internal Medicine
Preventive Medicine
Radiology

Intended Users
Advanced Practice Nurses
Nurses
Physician Assistants
Physicians

Guideline Objective(s)
- To provide recommendations for periprocedural management of coagulation status and hemostasis risk in percutaneous image-guided interventions
- To summarize some of the available literature regarding periprocedural surveillance and management of hemostatic defects in patients undergoing percutaneous image-guided procedures

Target Population
Patients with abnormal coagulation parameters undergoing percutaneous image-guided interventions

Interventions and Practices Considered
Assessment

1. Assessment of risk factors and comorbidities
2. Assessment of coagulation status using the following tests
   - International normalized ratio (INR)/prothrombin time (PT)
   - Activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT)
   - Platelet count
   - Hematocrit

Management/Prevention

1. Management for procedures with low risk of bleeding
   - Fresh frozen plasma (FFP) and vitamin K
   - Platelet transfusion
   - Withholding clopidogrel for 5 days before procedure
   - No withholding of aspirin
   - Withholding one therapeutic dose of low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) before procedure
2. Management for procedures with moderate risk of bleeding
   - Correcting INR and aPTT before procedure
   - Platelet transfusion
   - Withholding clopidogrel for 5 days before procedure
   - No withholding of aspirin
   - Withholding one therapeutic dose of LMWH before procedure
3. Management for procedures with significant bleeding risk difficult to detect or control
   - Correcting INR before procedure
   - Stopping or reversing heparin
   - Platelet transfusion
   - Withholding clopidogrel and aspirin for 5 days before procedure
   - Withholding fractionated heparin for 24 h or up to two doses

Major Outcomes Considered

Risk and incidence of bleeding during percutaneous image-guided interventions

Methodology

Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Searches of Electronic Databases

Description of Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

An in-depth literature search was performed by using electronic medical literature databases (mainly PubMed searching from 1974 to 2012). Search terms included minimally invasive procedure, coagulation management, anticoagulation management, periprocedural, hemostasis, Coumadin, heparin, aspirin, clopidogrel, biopsy, endovascular, bleeding risk, blood transfusion, fresh frozen plasma.

Number of Source Documents

Not stated

Methods Used to Assess the Quality and Strength of the Evidence
Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence

Not applicable

Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

Description of the Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

A critical review of peer-reviewed articles is performed with regard to the study methodology, results, and conclusions. The qualitative weight of these articles is assembled into an evidence table, which is used to write the document such that it contains evidence-based data with respect to content, complication rates, outcomes, and thresholds for prompting quality assurance reviews.

Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Expert Consensus (Delphi)

Description of Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Standards documents of relevance and timeliness are conceptualized by the Standards of Practice Committee members. A recognized expert is identified to serve as the principal author for the standard. Additional authors may be assigned depending on the magnitude of the project.

When the evidence of literature is weak, conflicting, or contradictory, consensus for the parameter is reached by a minimum of 12 Standards of Practice Committee members by using a modified Delphi consensus method. For the purposes of these documents, consensus is defined as 80% Delphi participant agreement on a value or parameter.

Eighteen Certificate of Added Qualifications-certified members of the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) Standards of Practice Committee participated through four rounds of the Delphi to reach consensus as reported.

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations

Not applicable

Cost Analysis

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

Method of Guideline Validation

Internal Peer Review

Description of Method of Guideline Validation

The draft document is critically reviewed by Standards of Practice Committee members, either by telephone conference calling or face-to-face meeting. The finalized draft from the Committee is sent to the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) membership for further input/criticism during a 30-day comment period. These comments are discussed by the Standards of Practice Committee and appropriate revisions made to create the finished standards document. Before its publication, the document is endorsed by the SIR Executive Council.
Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

Type of Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

The type of evidence supporting the recommendations is not specifically stated.

Benefits/Harms of Implementing the Guideline Recommendations

Potential Benefits

Appropriate periprocedural management of coagulation status and hemostasis risk in percutaneous image-guided interventions

Potential Harms

- Protamine's half-life is short and ranges from 5 to 7.5 minutes, which can lead to "paradoxical" re-anticoagulation after protamine administration. Side effects of protamine include hypotension, bradycardia, pulmonary arterial hypertension, decreased oxygen consumption, and anaphylactoid reactions.
- Intravenous administration of vitamin K is associated with a risk of anaphylactoid reaction. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has issued a "black box" warning for the subcutaneous, intravenous, and intramuscular routes of administration due to reports of severe reactions, including fatalities.
- The use of fresh frozen plasma (FFP) in nonbleeding cases before image-guided interventions must be weighed against the potential risks of transfusion. An increasingly recognized and often life-threatening complication, transfusion-related acute lung injury, has an insidious onset characterized by hypoxia, dyspnea, and volume overload, occurring after transfusion of approximately 1 in 8,000–60,000 U of FFP. The ability of patients with congestive heart failure or other similar conditions to handle the volume and rate at which transfusions may occur are limited and should be addressed accordingly. Other important transfusion-related complications include allergic or anaphylactic reactions, transmission of infectious diseases including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B, and hepatitis C, albeit rarely, and acute hemolysis secondary to anti-A or anti-B antibodies.
- Similar to the plasma-rich product FFP, platelets are also associated with a multitude of risks, including transfusion-related acute lung injury, anaphylaxis, and viral/bacterial contamination. One study reported that, with increasing number of platelet transfusions, the effectiveness progressively decreased, even when lymphocytotoxic antibody-positive patients were removed from the analysis. The judicious use of platelet transfusion may reduce the overall benefit in a time of need, such as in the setting of active bleeding.
- Refer to the "Efficacy and Complications" section of the original guideline document for a discussion of bleeding complications, including life-threatening or fatal bleeding, with antiplatelet therapy in image-guided procedures.

Qualifying Statements

Qualifying Statements

- Although it is likely that individual practice parameters will vary from this document, each practitioner should monitor outcomes and look for trends, both positive and negative, which may suggest modifications or adjustments to these parameters. Outlining bleeding complication rates for specific procedures is beyond the scope of this document and, in many cases, may be difficult or impossible to accurately accomplish because of the lack of high-level data. Where external benchmarks are not available, practitioners may choose to benchmark against their own historical data as part of an overall quality improvement program.
- The clinical practice guidelines of the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR) attempt to define practice principles that generally should assist in producing high quality medical care. These guidelines are voluntary and are not rules. A physician may deviate from these guidelines, as necessitated by the individual patient and available resources. These practice guidelines should not be deemed inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other methods of care that are reasonably directed towards the same result. Other sources of information may be used in conjunction with these principles to produce a process leading to high quality medical care. The ultimate judgment regarding the conduct of any specific procedure or course of management must be made by the physician, who should consider all circumstances
relevant to the individual clinical situation. Adherence to the SIR Quality Improvement Program will not assure a successful outcome in every situation. It is prudent to document the rationale for any deviation from the suggested practice guidelines in the department policies and procedure manual or in the patient's medical record.

Implementation of the Guideline

Description of Implementation Strategy

An implementation strategy was not provided.
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